UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN

United States of America

ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL

	v. Julio Cesar Espinosa-Mijangos	Case No. 1:10-0	cr-00214-PLM
	Defendant		
	fter conducting a detention hearing under the Bail Reference to detained pending trial.	eform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142	c(f), I conclude that these facts require
	Part I – F	Findings of Fact	
(1)	The defendant is charged with an offense described a federal offense a state or local offense existed – that is		
	a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C. § which the prison term is 10 years or more.	3156(a)(4), or an offense lis	ted in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)(B) for
	an offense for which the maximum sentence i	is death or life imprisonment	<u>.</u> .
	an offense for which a maximum prison term	of ten years or more is pres	cribed in:
	a felony committed after the defendant had be U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparable sta		e prior federal offenses described in 18
	any felony that is not a crime of violence but i a minor victim the possession or use of a firearr		ny other dangerous weapon
	a failure to register under 18 U.S		
(2)	The offense described in finding (1) was committed or local offense.	while the defendant was on	release pending trial for a federal, state
(3)	A period of less than 5 years has elapsed since the offense described in finding (1).	date of conviction	_ defendant's release from prison for the
(4)	Findings (1), (2) and (3) establish a rebuttable preseperson or the community. I further find that defende		
	Alternat	ive Findings (A)	
(1)	There is probable cause to believe that the defenda	int has committed an offense	Э
	for which a maximum prison term of ten years Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. 801 et under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c).		.*
(2)	The defendant has not rebutted the presumption es will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance		
1		ive Findings (B)	
	There is a serious risk that the defendant will not ap	•	
(2)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will endan	•	
		f the Reasons for Detention	
	find that the testimony and information submitted at a preponderance of the evidence that:	the detention hearing establ	isnes by <u>v</u> clear and convincing
1. Defer	ndant waived his detention hearing, electing not to co	ontest detention at this time.	

- 2. Defendant is subject to an ICE detainer and would not be released in any case.
- 3. Defendant may bring the issue of his continuing detention to the court's attention should his circumstances change.

Part III - Directions Regarding Detention

The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or a designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or held in custody pending appeal. The defendant must be afforded a reasonable opportunity to consult privately with defense counsel. On order of United States Court or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility must deliver the defendant to the United States marshal for a court appearance.

Date:	August 30, 2010	Judge's Signature:	/s/ Ellen S. Carmody	
_		Name and Title	Ellen S. Carmody, U.S. Magistrate Judge	